arXiv:1607.08418v1 [math.NT] 28 Jul 2016

# ON POWERS THAT ARE SUMS OF CONSECUTIVE LIKE POWERS

VANDITA PATEL AND SAMIR SIKSEK

Abstract. Let $k \geq 2$ be even, and let $r$ be a non-zero integer. We show that for almost all $d \geq 2$ (in the sense of natural density), the equation

$$x^k + (x+r)^k + \cdots + (x+(d-1)r)^k = y^n, \qquad x,\ y,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \qquad n \geq 2,$$

has no solutions.

## 1. Introduction

The problem of cubes that are sums of consecutive cubes goes back to Euler [10, art. 249] who noted the remarkable relation $3^3 + 4^3 + 5^3 = 6^3$. Similar problems were considered by several mathematicians during the 19th and early 20th century as surveyed in Dickson's *History of the Theory of Numbers* [7, pp. 582–588]. These questions are still of interest today. For example, both Cassels [5] and Uchiyama [16] determined the squares that can be written as sums of three consecutive cubes. Stroeker [15] determined all squares that are expressible as the sum of $2 \leq d \leq 50$ consecutive cubes, using a method based on linear forms in elliptic logarithms. More recently, Bennett, Patel and Siksek [2] determined all perfect powers that are expressible as sums of $2 \leq d \leq 50$ consecutive cubes, using linear forms in logarithms, sieving and Frey curves. There has been some interest in powers that are sums of $k$-th powers for other exponents $k$. For example, the solutions to the equation

$$x^k + (x+1)^k + (x+2)^k = y^n, \qquad x,\ y,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \qquad n \geq 2,$$

have been determined by Zhongfeng Zhang for $k = 2$, 3, 4 and by Bennett, Patel and Siksek [1] for $k = 5$, 6.

In view of the above, it is natural to consider the equation

$$x^k + (x+1)^k + \cdots + (x+d-1)^k = y^n, \qquad x,\ y,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \qquad n \geq 2 \tag{1}$$

with $k$, $d \geq 2$. This was studied by Zhang and Bai [18] for $k = 2$. They show that if $q$ is a prime $\equiv \pm 5 \pmod{12}$ and $\upsilon_q(d) = 1$ then equation (1) has no solutions for $k = 2$. It follows from a standard result in analytic number theory (as we shall see later) that the set of $d$ for which there is a solution with $k = 2$ has natural density 0. We prove the following generalization to all even exponents $k$.

*Date*: July 29, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11D61, Secondary 11B68.

*Key words and phrases.* Exponential equation, Bernoulli polynomial, Newton polygon.

The first-named author is supported by an EPSRC studentship. The second-named author is supported by the EPSRC *LMF: L-Functions and Modular Forms* Programme Grant EP/K034383/1.

**Theorem 1.** *Let $k \geq 2$ be even and let $r$ be a non-zero integer. Write $\mathcal{A}_{k,r}$ for the set of integers $d \geq 2$ such that the equation*

$$x^k + (x+r)^k + \cdots + (x+(d-1)r)^k = y^n, \qquad x,\ y,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \qquad n \geq 2 \tag{2}$$

*has a solution $(x, y, n)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{k,r}$ has natural density 0; by this we mean*

$$\lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\#\{d \in \mathcal{A}_{k,r} \ : \ d \leq X\}}{X} = 0.$$

If $k$ is odd, then $\mathcal{A}_{k,r}$ contains all of the odd $d$: we can take $(x, y, n) = (r(1-d)/2, 0, n)$. Thus the conclusion of the theorem does not hold for odd $k$.

## 2. Some Properties of Bernoulli Numbers and Polynomials

In this section we summarise some classical properties of Bernoulli numbers and polynomials. These are found in many references, including [8]. The Bernoulli numbers $b_k$ are defined via the expansion

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k \frac{x^k}{k!}.$$

The first few bernoulli numbers are

$$b_0 = 1, \quad b_1 = -1/2, \quad b_2 = 1/6, \quad b_3 = 0, \quad b_4 = -1/30, \quad b_5 = 0, \quad b_6 = 1/42.$$

It is easy to show that $b_{2k+1} = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$. The $b_k$ are rational numbers, and the Von Staudt–Clausen theorem asserts that for $k \geq 2$ even

$$b_k + \sum_{(p-1) \mid k} \frac{1}{p} \ \in \ \mathbb{Z}$$

where the sum ranges over primes $p$ such that $(p-1) \mid k$.

The $k$-th Bernoulli polynomial can be defined by

$$B_k(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{k}{m} b_m x^{k-m}. \tag{3}$$

Thus it is a monic polynomial with rational coefficients, and all primes appearing in the denominators are bounded by $k+1$. It satisfies the symmetry

$$B_k(1-x) = (-1)^k B_k(x), \tag{4}$$

the identity

$$B_k(x+1) - B_k(x) = k x^{k-1}, \tag{5}$$

and the recurrence

$$B_k'(x) = k B_{k-1}(x). \tag{6}$$

Whilst all the above results have been known since at least the 19th century, we also make use of the following far more recent and difficult theorem due to Brillhart [3] and Dilcher [9].

**Theorem 2** (Brillhart and Dilcher)**.** *The Bernoulli polynomials are squarefree.*

**Relation to sums of powers.**

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let $r$ be a non-zero integer and $k$, $d \geq 1$. Then*

$$x^k + (x+r)^k + \cdots + (x+r(d-1)) = \frac{r^k}{k+1}\left(B_{k+1}\left(\frac{x}{r}+d\right) - B_{k+1}\left(\frac{x}{r}\right)\right).$$

This formula can be found in [8, Section 24.4], but is easily deduced from the identity (5).

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let $q \geq k+3$ be a prime. Let $a$, $r$, $d$ be integers with $d \geq 2$, and $r \neq 0$. Suppose $q \mid d$ and $q \nmid r$. Then*

$$a^k + (a+r)^k + \cdots + (a+r(d-1))^k \equiv r^k \cdot d \cdot B_k(a/r) \pmod{q^2}.$$

*Proof.* By Taylor's Theorem

$$B_{k+1}(x+d) = B_{k+1}(x) + d \cdot B'_{k+1}(x) + \frac{d^2}{2}B^{(2)}_{k+1}(x) + \cdots + \frac{d^{k+2}}{(k+2)!} \cdot B^{(k+2)}_{k+1}(x).$$

It follows from the assumption $q \geq k+3$ that the coefficients of $B_{k+1}$ are $q$-adic integers. Thus the coefficients of the polynomials $B^{(i)}_{k+1}(x)/i!$ are also $q$-adic integers. As $q \mid d$ and $q \nmid r$ we have

$$B_{k+1}\left(\frac{a}{r}+d\right) - B_{k+1}\left(\frac{a}{r}\right) \equiv d \cdot B'_{k+1}(a/r) \pmod{q^2}.$$

The lemma follows from (6) and Lemma 2.1. □

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let $k$, $r$ be integers with $k \geq 2$ and $r \neq 0$. Let $q \geq k+3$ be a prime not dividing $r$ such that the congruence $B_k(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ has no solutions. Let $d$ be a positive integer such that $v_q(d) = 1$. Then equation (2) has no solutions (i.e. $d \notin \mathcal{A}_{k,r}$).*

*Proof.* Suppose $(x, y, n) = (a, b, n)$ be a solution to (2). By Lemma 2.2,

$$r^k \cdot d \cdot B_k(a/r) \equiv b^n \pmod{q^2}.$$

However, the hypotheses of the lemma ensure that the left-hand side has $q$-adic valution 1. Thus $v_q(b^n) = 1$ giving a contradiction. □

**Remarks.**

- For $k \geq 3$ odd, the $k$-th Bernoulli polynomial has known rational roots 0, 1/2, 1. Thus the criterion in the lemma fails to hold for a single prime $q$. We shall in fact show that for even $k \geq 2$ there is a positive density of primes $q$ such that $B_k(x)$ has no roots modulo $q$.
- The second Bernoulli polynomial is $B_2(x) = x^2 - x + 1/6$. This has a root modulo $q \nmid 6$ if and only if $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12}$. We thus recover the result of Bai and Zhang mentioned in the introduction: if $q \equiv \pm 5 \pmod{12}$ and $v_q(d) = 1$ then (1) has no solutions with $k = 2$.

## 3. A Galois property of even Bernoulli polynomials

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let $k \geq 2$ be even, and let $G$ be the Galois group of the Bernoulli polynomial $B_k$. Then there is an element $\mu \in G$ that acts freely on the roots of $B_k$.*

There is a long-standing conjecture that the even Bernoulli polynomials are irreducible; see for example [3], [4], [14]. One can easily deduce Proposition 3.1 from this conjecture. We give an unconditional proof of Proposition 3.1 in Section 5. As noted previously, if $k$ is odd, then $B_k$ has rational roots 0, 1/2, 1, so the conclusion of the proposition certainly fails for odd $k$.

**A Density Result.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a set of positive integers. For $X$ positive, define

$$\mathcal{A}(X) = \#\{d \in \mathcal{A} : d \leq X\}.$$

The **natural density** of $\mathcal{A}$ is defined as the limit (if it exists)

$$\delta(\mathcal{A}) = \lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{A}(X)}{X}.$$

For a given prime $q$, define

$$\mathcal{A}^{(q)} = \{d \in \mathcal{A} \ : \ v_q(d) = 1\}.$$

We shall need the following result of Niven [13, Corollary 1].

**Theorem 3** (Niven)**.** *Let $\{q_i\}$ be a set of primes such that $\delta(\mathcal{A}^{(q_i)}) = 0$ and $\sum q_i^{-1} = \infty$. Then $\delta(\mathcal{A}) = 0$.*

**Proposition 3.1 implies Theorem 1.** We now suppose Proposition 3.1 and use it to deduce Theorem 1. Let $k \geq 2$ be an even integer. Write $G$ for the Galois group of the Bernoulli polynomial $B_k$. Let $\mu \in G$ be the element acting freely on the roots of $B_k$ whose existence is asserted by Proposition 3.1. By the Chebotarev density theorem [6, Chapter VIII] there is a set of primes $\{q_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ having positive Dirichlet density such that for each $q = q_i$, the Frobenius element $\mathrm{Frob}_q \in G$ is conjugate to $\mu$. We omit from $\{q_i\}$ (without affecting the density) the following:

- primes $q \leq k + 2$;
- primes $q$ dividing $r$;
- primes $q$ dividing the numerator of the discriminant of $B_k$ (which is non-zero by Theorem 2).

As $\mu$ acts freely on the roots of $B_k$, it follows that the polynomial $B_k(x)$ has no roots modulo any of the $q_i$. Now let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{k,r}$ be as in the statement of Theorem 1. By Lemma 2.3, if $v_{q_i}(d) = 1$ then $d \notin \mathcal{A}$. It follows that $\mathcal{A}^{(q_i)} = \emptyset$. By Theorem 3, we have $\delta(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ as required.

## 4. The 2-adic Newton polygons of even Bernoulli polynomials

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let $k \geq 2$ be even and write $k = 2^s t$ where $t$ is odd and $s \geq 1$. The 2-adic Newton polygon of $B_k$ consists two segments:*

*(i) a horizantal segment joining the points $(0, -1)$ and $(k - 2^s, -1)$;*
*(ii) a segment joining the points $(k - 2^s, -1)$ and $(k, 0)$ of slope $1/2^s$.*

*Proof.* Consider the definition of $B_k$ in (3). We know that $b_0 = 1$, $b_1 = -1/2$ and $b_m = 0$ for all odd $m \geq 3$. From the Von Staudt–Clausen theorem, we know that $v_2(b_m) = -1$ for even $m \geq 2$. It follows that the Newton polygon is bounded below by the Horizontal line $y = -1$.

We shall need to make use of the following result of Kummer (see [11]): if $p$ is a prime, and $u$, $v$ are positive integers then

$$\binom{u}{v} \equiv \binom{u_0}{v_0}\binom{u_1}{v_1} \pmod{p},$$

where $u_0$, $u_1$ are respectively the remainder and quotient on dividing $u$ by $p$, and likewise $v_0$, $v_1$ are respectively the remainder and quotient on dividing $v$ by $p$. Here we adopt the convention $\binom{r}{s} = 0$ if $r < s$. Applying this with $p = 2$ we see that

$$\binom{k}{2^s} = \binom{2^s t}{2^s} \equiv \binom{t}{1} \equiv t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Thus the coefficient of $x^{k-2^s}$ in $B_k$ has 2-adic valuation $-1$. Since the constant coefficient of $B_k$ also has valuation $-1$, we obtain the segment (i) as part of the Newton polygon. We also see that for $0 < v < 2^s$,

$$\binom{k}{v} \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

and so the valuation of the coefficient of $x^{k-v}$ is $\geq 0$. Finally the coefficient of $x^k$ is $b_0 = 1$ and so has valuation 0. This gives segment (ii) and completes the proof. □

**Remark**. Inkeri [12] showed that $B_k$ has no rational roots for $k$ even. His proof required very precise (and difficult) estimates for the real roots of $B_k$. Lemma 4.1 allows us to give a much simpler proof of the following stronger results.

**Theorem 4.** *Let $k$ be even. Then $B_k$ has no roots in $\mathbb{Q}_2$.*

*Proof.* Indeed, suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_2$ is a root of $B_k$. From the slopes of the Newton polygon segments we see that $v_2(\alpha) = 0$ or $-1/2^s$. As $v_2$ takes only integer values on $\mathbb{Q}_2$, we see that $v_2(\alpha) = 0$ and so $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $f = 2B_k \in \mathbb{Z}_2[x]$. Thus $f(\alpha) = 0$ and so $f(\overline{\alpha}) = \overline{0} \in \mathbb{F}_2$. However, $\overline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_2 = \{\overline{0}, \overline{1}\}$. Now $f(\overline{0}) = \overline{(2b_k)} = \overline{1}$, and from (4) we know that $f(\overline{1}) = f(\overline{0}) = \overline{1}$. This gives a contradiciton. □

Although Theorem 4 is not needed by us, its proof helps motivate part of the proof of Proposition 3.1.

## 5. Completing the proof of Theorem 1

**A little group theory.**

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let $H$ be a finite group acting transitively on a finite set $\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n\}$. Let $H_i \subseteq H$ be the stabilizer of $\beta_i$, and suppose $H_1 = H_2$. Let $\pi : H \to C$ be a surjective homomorphism from $H$ onto a cyclic group $C$. Then there is some $\mu \in H$ acting freely on $\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n\}$ such that $\pi(\mu)$ is a generator of $C$.*

*Proof.* Let $m = \#C$ and write $C = \langle\sigma\rangle$. Consider the subset

$$C' = \{\sigma^r \ : \ \gcd(r, m) = 1\};$$

this is the set of elements that are cyclic generators of $C$, and has cardinality $\varphi(m)$, where $\varphi$ is the Euler totient function. As $\pi$ is surjective we see that

$$\#\pi^{-1}(C') = \frac{\varphi(m)}{m} \cdot \#H. \tag{7}$$

As $H$ acts transitively on the $\beta_i$, the stabilizers $H_i$ are conjugate and so have the same image $\pi(H_i)$ in $C$. If this image is a proper subgroup of $C$, then take $\mu$ to be any preimage of $\sigma$. Thus $\pi(\mu) = \sigma$ is a generator of $C$, and moreover, $\mu$ does not belong to any of the stabilizers $H_i$ and so acts freely on $\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n\}$, completing the proof in this case. Thus we suppose that $\pi(H_i) = C$ for all $i$. It follows that

$$\#\pi^{-1}(C') \cap H_i = \frac{\varphi(m)}{m} \cdot \#H_i = \frac{\varphi(m)}{m} \cdot \frac{\#H}{n}, \tag{8}$$

where the second equality follows from the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem. The lemma states that there is some element $\mu$ belonging to $\pi^{-1}(C')$ but not to $\cup H_i$. Suppose otherwise. Then

$$\pi^{-1}(C') \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} H_i,$$

and therefore

$$\pi^{-1}(C') = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \pi^{-1}(C') \cap H_i. \tag{9}$$

Now (7), (8) and (9) together imply that the $\pi^{-1}(C') \cap H_i$ are pairwise disjoint. This contradicts the hypothesis that $H_1 = H_2$ completing the proof. □

**Proof of Propostion 3.1.** We now complete the proof of Theorem 1 by proving Proposition 3.1. Fix an even $k \geq 2$, and let $L$ be the splitting field of $B_k$. Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{Gal}(B_k)$ be the Galois group of $B_k$. Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime of $L$ above 2. The 2-adic valuation $v_2$ on $\mathbb{Q}_2$ has a unique extension to $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$ which we continue to denote by $v_2$. We let $H = \mathrm{Gal}(L_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathbb{Q}_2) \subseteq G$ be the decomposition subgroup corresponding to $\mathfrak{P}$.

From Lemma 4.1 we see that $B_k$ factors as $B_k(x) = g(x)h(x)$ over $\mathbb{Q}_2$ where the factors $g$, $h$ correspond respectively to the segments (i), (ii) in the lemma. Thus $g$, $h$ have degree $k - 2^s$ and $2^s$ respectively. We denote the roots of $g$ by $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k-2^s}\} \subset L_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and the roots of $h$ by $\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{2^s}\} \subset L_{\mathfrak{P}}$. From the slopes of the segments we see that $v_2(\alpha_i) = 0$ and $v_2(\beta_j) = -1/2^s$. It clearly follows that $h$ is irreducible and therefore that $H$ acts transitively on the $\beta_j$. Moreover, from the symmetry (4) we see that $1 - \beta_1$ is a root of $B_k$, and by appropriate relabelling we can suppose that $\beta_2 = 1 - \beta_1$. In the notation of Lemma 5.1, we have $H_1 = H_2$. Now let $C = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathbb{F}_2)$, where $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is the residue field of $\mathfrak{P}$. This group is cyclic generated by the Frobenius map: $\overline{\gamma} \mapsto \overline{\gamma}^2$. We let $\pi : H \to C$ be the induced surjection. By Lemma 5.1 there is some $\mu \in H$ that acts freely on the $\beta_i$ and such that $\pi(\mu)$ generates $C$. To complete the proof of Proposition 3.1 it is enough to show that $\mu$ also acts freely on the $\alpha_i$. Suppose otherwise, and let $\alpha$ be one of the $\alpha_i$ that is fixed by $\mu$. As $v_2(\alpha) = 0$, we can write $\overline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ for the reduction of $\alpha$ modulo $\mathfrak{P}$. Now $\alpha$ is fixed by $\mu$, and so $\overline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is fixed by $\langle \pi(\mu) \rangle = C$. Thus $\overline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and so $\overline{\alpha} = \overline{0}$ or $\overline{1}$. Now let $f = 2B_k(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_2[x]$. Thus $f(\overline{\alpha}) = \overline{0}$. But $f(\overline{0}) = \overline{(2b_k)} = \overline{1}$, and from (4) we know that $f(\overline{1}) = f(\overline{0}) = \overline{1}$. This contradiction completes the proof.

## References

[1] M. A. Bennett, V. Patel and S. Siksek, *Perfect powers that are sums of consecutive cubes*, arXiv:1603.08901.

[2] M. A. Bennett, V. Patel and S. Siksek, *Superelliptic equations arising from sums of consecutive powers*, Acta Arithmetica, to appear.
[3] J. Brillhart, *On the Euler and Bernoulli polynomials*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **234** (1969), 45–64.
[4] L. Carlitz, *Note on irreducibility of the Bernoulli and Euler polynomial*, Duke Math. J. **19** (1952), 475–481.
[5] J. W. S. Cassels, *A Diophantine equation*, Glasgow Math. Journal **27** (1985), 11–88.
[6] J. W. S. Cassels, A. Fröhlich (Eds), *Algebraic Number Theory*, Academic Press, 1967.
[7] L. E. Dickson, *History of the theory of numbers*, volume II, Chelsea, New York, 1971.
[8] K. Dilcher, *Chapter 24: Bernoulli and Euler Polynomials*, in *Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*, available from `http://dlmf.nist.gov/24`.
[9] K. Dilcher, *On multiple zeros of Bernoulli polynomials*, Acta Arithmetica **134** (2008), 149–155.
[10] L. Euler, *Vollständige Anleitung zur Algebra*, volume 2, St. Petersburg, 1770.
[11] A. Granville, *Arithmetic properties of binomial coefficients. I. Binomial coefficients modulo prime powers*, pages 253–276 of CMS Conf. Proc. **20**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
[12] K. Inkeri, *The real roots of Bernoulli polynomials*, Ann. Univ. Turku. Ser. A I **37** (1959), 1–20.
[13] I. Niven, *The asymptotic density of sequences*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **57** (1951), 420–434.
[14] N. Kimura, *On the degree of an irreducible factor of Bernoulli polynomials*, Acta Arith. **L** (1988), 243–249.
[15] R. J. Stroeker, *On the sum of consecutive cubes being a square*, Compositio Mathematica **97** (1995), 295–307.
[16] S. Uchiyama, *On a Diophantine equation*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **55** (1979), no. 9, 367–369.
[17] Z. Zhang, *On the Diophantine equation* $(x-1)^k + x^k + (x+1)^k = y^n$, Publ. Math. Debrecen **85** (2014), 93–100.
[18] Z. Zhang and M. Bai, *On the Diophantine equation* $(x+1)^2 + (x+2)^2 + \cdots + (x+d)^2 = y^n$, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **49** (2013), 73–77.

Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom
*E-mail address*: `vandita.patel@warwick.ac.uk`

Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom
*E-mail address*: `S.Siksek@warwick.ac.uk`